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Atividade física medida objetivamente e níveis de estresse em trabalhadores: uma revisão sistemática

ABSTRACT

To investigate the relationship between objectively measured physical activity and occupational stress in different work environments. This systematic review, registered in the PROSPERO database (CRD42020214884), followed the PRISMA methodology. The search took place in October/2020 in the following databases: Web of Science, SPORTDiscus, MedLine/PubMed, PsycINFO, EMBASE, OVID MEDLINE, Scielo and CINAHL. Keywords related to eligible participants (adults and workers), interventions (physical activity objectively measured), comparison (control group or baseline), outcome (stress), and study design (observational studies) were combined using Boolean terms. From 1,524 identified records, 12 articles were included, totaling 2,082 workers. 66.7% of the studies were carried out in Europe and 50.0% among health professionals. Blue collar workers (20.7% [n = 430]) and white collar workers (18.3% [n = 382]), medical resident (6.5% [n = 135]) and protection services (9.7% [n = 202]) were the predominant occupations. Physical activity was higher in blue-collar workers than in white-collar workers, and shift-working nurses were more active compared to non-shift workers and office workers. Increased mental workload was not associated with time spent on physical activities in most studies (10 [83.3%]). Some studies showed that light physical activity was associated with higher levels of stress and moderate to vigorous physical activity was beneficial for reducing stress dimensions. In conclusion, most studies did not find an association between objectively measured physical activity and the level of stress in workers. Studies with robust methodologies and covering different groups of workers remain necessary.
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RESUMO

Investigar a relação entre a atividade física medida objetivamente e o estresse ocupacional em diferentes ambientes de trabalho. Esta revisão sistemática, registrada na base de dados PROSPERO (CRD42020214884), seguiu a metodologia PRISMA. A busca ocorreu em outubro/2020 nas bases de dados: Web of Science, SPORTDiscus, MedLine/PubMed, PsycINFO, EMBASE, OVID MEDLINE, Scielo e CINAHL. Palavras-chave relacionadas aos participantes elegíveis (adultos e trabalhadores), intervenções (atividade física medida objetivamente), comparação (grupo de controle ou medida basal), resultado (estresse) e desenho do estudo (estudos observacionais) foram combinadas usando termos booleanos. De 1.524 registros identificados, 12 artigos foram incluídos, totalizando 2.082 trabalhadores. 66,7% dos estudos foram realizados na Europa e 50,0% entre profissionais de saúde. Trabalhadores "colarinho azul" (20,7% [n = 430]) e "colarinho branco" (18,3% [n = 382]), residente médico (6,5% [n = 135]) e serviços de proteção (9,7% [n = 202]) foram as ocupações predominantes. A atividade física foi maior em trabalhadores "colarinho azul" do que em "colarinho branco", e as enfermeiras que trabalhavam por turnos foram mais ativas comparadas as que não trabalhavam em turnos e os funcionários de escritório. O aumento da carga mental não foi associado ao tempo gasto em atividades físicas na maioria dos estudos (10 [83,3%]). Alguns estudos mostraram que a atividade física leve foi associada a maiores níveis de estresse e a moderada a vigorosa beneficia para redução das dimensões de estresse. Em conclusão, a maioria dos estudos não encontrou associação entre atividade física medida objetivamente com o nível de estresse em trabalhadores. Estudos com metodologias robustas e abrangendo diferentes grupos de trabalhadores permanecem necessários.

Palavras-chave: Trabalhadores; Atividade física; Estresse
Introduction

Physical inactivity is an important risk factor for morbidity and premature mortality. In fact, around 5.2 million people deaths worldwide were attributable to physical inactivity in 2008. Achieving the recommendation of 150 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity per week is related to reduced risk for a myriad number of chronic conditions such as ischemic heart disease, diabetes, stroke, breast cancer, and colon cancer. On the other hand, this relationship seems to shift when accounting for physical activity performed during working time. For example, workers with high physical demands during occupational time have a higher risk of ischemic heart disease, atrial fibrillation, and premature mortality. The contrasting effect of occupational physical activity is called the physical activity paradox.

Besides the elevated physical demand, some workers might be exposed to occupational stress, leading to mental disorders such as anxiety, depression, and Burnout syndrome. Some mechanisms such as elevated heart rate over long periods, environmental and psycho-social stressors, and low-to-high intensity occupational physical activity without sufficient recovery are associated with detrimental effects in both the mental and physical health of this population. All these factors seem to induce chronic inflammation intimately associated with disorders such as obesity, diabetes, arthritis, and sleep impairment.

Although the literature has extensively documented the beneficial effect of general physical activity in psychological stress at population level, few studies address the relationship between physical activity and occupational stress. Considering the “physical activity paradox” previously mentioned, a broader understanding of the role of different domains of physical activity in occupational stress is warranted. However, no systematic reviews on this subject were found. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the association between objectively-measured physical activity and occupational stress in different work environments.

Methods

Protocol and registration

The protocol was prospectively registered on the PROSPERO International Prospective Register for Systematic Reviews website (CRD42020214884). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) was used to ensure that methodological aspects and results were conducted and reported systematically.

Eligibility criteria

PICOS criteria were determined before the database search based on population, intervention, control, outcome, and study design.

- Population: Workers aged 18 or older with no restrictions for health conditions.
- Indicator: Physical activity objectively measured by accelerometer or pedometer.
- Control: No control group required.
- Outcome: Psychological stress.
- Study: Observational studies were included.

Information sources and search

A systematic review of the literature was conducted to find studies that aimed to improve stair use among adult population. The search was conducted in October 2020 and included the following databases: Web of Science, SPORTDiscus, MedLine/PubMed, PsycINFO, EMBASE, OVID MEDLINE, Scielo and CINAHL. Keywords related to eligible participants (adults and workers), interventions (objective-measured physical activity), comparison (control group or baseline measure), outcome (stress), and study design (observational studies) were combined as shown in Appendix 1. Keywords were used in the English language. There was no time limitation for publication studies. Detailed search strategy can be found in the Appendix 1.

Study selection

All articles were included and exported to Mendeley Desktop reference management software (1.19.4 version). Abstract and titles identified in electronic databases were checked by two independent reviewers (MFM and BSS) to include eligible papers in full-text analyzes. A third independent reviewer (NF) was called in case of disagreement to solve any issue.

Data collection process

Data extraction was conducted independently by two reviewers (MFM and BBS). Country, sample size and characteristics, physical activity (method, domain, and findings), stress (method and findings), and main conclusions were extracted to a standardized form. Physical activity as a continuous variable (minutes per week, steps per day, counts per minute) as well as lower and upper confidence interval limits were obtained as effect size.
Risk of bias

The quality of selected studies was assessed by two independent reviewers (MFM and BBS) using a quality rating list based on a previously published checklist for reporting of observational studies\(^{16,17}\). The detailed quality ranking list contains 15 items that assess different methodological aspects, as previously published\(^{18}\) and illustrated in Table 1.

| Table 1 – Criteria for quality assessment of studies |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Item | Criterion | Description |
| 1 | Objectives | Are the objectives or hypotheses of the research described in the paper stated? |
| 2 | Study design | Is the study design presented? |
| 3a | Target population | Do the authors describe the target population they wanted to research? |
| 3b | Sample | Was a random sample of the target population taken? AND was the response rate 60% or more? |
| 3c | Sample | Is participant selection described? |
| 3d | Sample | Is participant recruitment described, or referred to? |
| 3e | Sample | Are the inclusion and/or exclusion criteria stated? |
| 3f | Sample | Is the study sample described? (minimum description: sample size, gender, age and an indicator of SES) |
| 4 | Variables | Are the measures of occupational sitting and the health outcome described? |
| 5a | Data sources and collection | Do authors describe the source of their data (e.g., cancer registry, health survey) AND did authors describe how the data were collected? (e.g., by mail) |
| 5b | Measurement | Was reliability of the measure(s) of occupational sitting mentioned or referred to? |
| 5c | Measurement | Was the validity of the measure(s) of occupational sitting mentioned or referred to? |
| 6a | Statistical methods | Were appropriate statistical methods used and described, including those for addressing confounders? |
| 6b | Statistical methods | Were the numbers/percentages of participants with missing data for sitting and the health outcome indicated and if more than 20% of data in the primary analyses were missing, were methods used to address missing data? |

Results

A pilot analysis was conducted to check the agreement between the scores from both researchers. A Cohen’s kappa statistic equal to 1.00 and 100.0% of agreement was achieved between raters. The items are rated as yes (1 point), no (0 points), or unclear (0 points). As all criteria had the same weight, quality score ranges from zero to 15 for each study.

From 1,524 records identified in six electronic databases, 12 articles were included in this systematic review. The main reasons for exclusion were studies with no workers (50.0%), no objectively measured physical activity (22.8%), and no stress assessments (22.5%), as shown in Figure 1.

From 1,524 records identified in six electronic databases, 12 articles were included in this systematic review. The main reasons for exclusion were studies with no workers (50.0%), no objectively measured physical activity (22.8%), and no stress assessments (22.5%), as shown in Figure 1.

Characteristics of the included articles are shown in Table 2. From the 12 included studies, 66.7% (n = 8) were carried out in Europe\(^{20-26,30}\), 16.7% (n = 2) in America\(^{19,29}\), and 16.7% (n = 2) in Asia\(^{27,28}\). Included articles summed 2,082 workers, which most were health professionals (50.0% [n = 6])\(^{19,21,25-27,29}\) and women (56.0% [n = 1,166])\(^{19,21,23,25-28}\). The average age ranged from 22.40 ± 1.80\(^{22}\) to 68.15 ± 9.15\(^{21}\) years-old. Blue-collar (20.7% [n = 430])\(^{24,30}\) and white-collar workers (18.3% [n = 382])\(^{20,24,28,30}\), medical resident (6.5% [n = 135])\(^{19,27}\), and protective services (9.7% [n = 202])\(^{22,23}\) were the most frequent occupations.

Regarding the quality of the included studies, the
Table 2 – Characteristics of included studies (N = 12).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sample/ % women</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Type of worker</th>
<th>Physical activity Instrument</th>
<th>Domains assessed/ unit</th>
<th>Physical activity findings</th>
<th>Stress Instrument</th>
<th>Source assessed</th>
<th>Stress findings</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myrtzek et al.</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Mean: 50.81</td>
<td>White (N = 57) and blue-collar (N = 29)</td>
<td>Accelerometry/ 23 hours</td>
<td>Work and leisure time/ units per min</td>
<td>Blue-collar workers were more active during work; activities during leisure-time were similar between groups.</td>
<td>Single question</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>“White-collar” workers reported higher stress levels at and outside work than “blue-collar” workers.</td>
<td>Physical activity was not associated with stress levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roskoden et al.</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>18 /61.1%</td>
<td>Mean: 33.00; Range: 29–50</td>
<td>Shifting: 31.00 ± 1.90; Non-shifting = 41.62 ± 2.00</td>
<td>Accelerometry/ 9 hours/day</td>
<td>Total / steps per day</td>
<td>Shifting workers were more active than non-shifting workers (2.1 vs. 1.7 METs, p &lt; 0.01)</td>
<td>Trier Inventory for Chronic Stress (TICS)</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>Social burden in shifts was greater compared to the subgroup of office workers who do not work in shifts. Physical activity was not associated with stress levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low et al.</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>N = 49 / 57.1%</td>
<td>Mean: 25.00</td>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>Accelerometry/ 4 months</td>
<td>Total / steps per min per day</td>
<td>Steps per day and duration of physical activity was similar in both groups</td>
<td>ProQOL scale</td>
<td>Work</td>
<td>No differences in stress level were observed between groups.</td>
<td>Physical activity was not associated with stress levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driesman et al.</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>N = 27 / 25.9%</td>
<td>Mean 28.90</td>
<td>Orthopedics Residents</td>
<td>Fitbit Flex (wrist)/ 4 weeks</td>
<td>Total / steps per day</td>
<td>Orthopedics residents were more active than other residents</td>
<td>MBI e PHQ-9</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Orthopedic residents reported significantly higher rates of emotional exhaustion and depersonalization than other residents.</td>
<td>Physical activity was not associated with stress levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendelsohn et al.</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>N = 59</td>
<td>Mean 28,53 ± 3,00</td>
<td>Surgeons, Clinical Physicians, and Anesthetists and Radiologists</td>
<td>FT1Bit Charge HR / 14 days</td>
<td>Work / METs</td>
<td>Surgical residents worked the most hours per week, followed by medical and RCD residents.</td>
<td>Short-Form Health Survey e Maslach Burnout Inventory</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Scores from Maslach Burnout Inventory were not significantly different among groups.</td>
<td>Physical activity was not associated with stress levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitano et al.</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>N = 1095 / 68.6 women</td>
<td>Mean 50.20 ± 9.50</td>
<td>“White-collar” workers</td>
<td>Accelerometry/ at least 10 days including work and weekend days</td>
<td>Total / METs</td>
<td>SB and LPA were positively associated with psychological distress and low engagement at work. MVPA was not associated with mental health.</td>
<td>Kessler-10 (scale of psychological suffering)</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Levels of psychological suffering; 72.5% at low, 27.5% at high</td>
<td>Physical activity was associated with stress levels.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continue…
mean score was 11.5 ± 2.9, with studies ranging from 519 to 1420–24, shown in Appendix 2. Physical activity was assessed by accelerometer and pedometer in 10 (83.3%)20–28 and 2 (16.7%)19,29 studies respectively. Most studies reported physical activity as minutes per day (42.9%[n = 6])19,20,23–25,27 or METs per minute (28.6%[n = 4])26,28–30. The mean time spent in each intensity of physical activity, in minutes per day, by occupational groups is shown in Figure 2. Physical activity was higher in “blue-collar” workers than in “white-collar”30, and shift-work nurses were more active than non-shift counterparts and office workers26. Likewise, On the other hand, no difference in time spent with physical activity was observed between caregivers and non-caregivers30. Among health workers, general and orthopedic surgery residents19,29 were more active than internal medicine and neurology, anesthesia and radiology30, and other residents19. Different instruments were used among all included studies to assess stress levels, so quantitative analysis on this outcome was not possible. Workers who experienced higher levels of stress among their peers were white-collar compared to blue-collar workers30, shift-working nurses had a higher social burden than

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sample/ % women</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Type of worker</th>
<th>Physical activity Instrument</th>
<th>Domains assessed/ unit</th>
<th>Physical activity findings</th>
<th>Stress Instrument</th>
<th>Source assessed</th>
<th>Stress findings</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marquez et al.21</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>N = 73/ 69.4 women</td>
<td>Mean: 68.15 ± 9.15</td>
<td>Caregivers (N = 24); non-caregivers (N = 49)</td>
<td>Accelerometry /7 consecutive days.</td>
<td></td>
<td>No difference was observed in time spent with physical activity between caregivers and non-caregivers.</td>
<td>Perceived Stress Scale</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>Caregivers reported greater anxiety, depression, stress, and negative health symptoms compared to non-caregivers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larsson et al.20</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>N = 314</td>
<td>Mean: 42.20 ± 21.00</td>
<td>“White-collar” workers</td>
<td>Accelerometry/ 600 min per day for at least 4 days</td>
<td>Total / Counts per min</td>
<td>High-demand activities were associated with vigorous-intensity physical activity</td>
<td>Job Content Questionnaire; Effort-Reward Imbalance questionnaire</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical activity was associated with low psychological stress was associated with low time spent with vigorous physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schilling et al.23</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>N = 401/ 57.4% Range: 20-64</td>
<td>Police officers</td>
<td>Accelerometry / 5 days with 10 valid hours</td>
<td>Total / min per week</td>
<td>Physical activity was 3-7 times greater than the WHO guidelines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical activity was not associated with stress levels.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meina et al.22</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>N = 26</td>
<td>Mean: 22.40 ± 1.80</td>
<td>Firefighters</td>
<td>Accelerometry / 24-hour cycle</td>
<td>Clusters -</td>
<td></td>
<td>Self-reported questionnaire</td>
<td>Work</td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical activity was not associated with stress levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Cocker et al.24</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>N = 401 Range: 20-64</td>
<td>White-collar: 57.3%; Blue-collar: 42.7%</td>
<td>Accelerometry / 2-4 consecutive days</td>
<td>Work, leisure, and total /Hours per day</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean of 1.1 and 0.7 hours/day in MVPA at work and leisure-time, respectively. Mean time spent in sedentary activities was 7.2 hours/day</td>
<td>General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12)</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>Around 35% reported emotional distress was not associated with stress levels.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continue of Table 2 – Characteristics of included studies (N = 12).
Office workers\textsuperscript{26}, orthopedic residents had higher rates of emotional exhaustion and depersonalization than did residents from other areas\textsuperscript{19} and caregivers reported higher stress values than non-caregivers\textsuperscript{21}. The heightened mental burden was not associated with time spent in physical activities in most (10 [83.3\%]) of the included studies\textsuperscript{19–25,27,29,30}. In these studies, physical activity was assessed by accelerometer and pedometer among security (fireman and police officers), health (i.e., physicians and image technicians), and general workers.

In studies in which physical activity was associated with heightened stress levels, samples were composed of office workers and nurses. Roskoden et al.\textsuperscript{26} reported that shifting nursing exhibited higher physical activity levels and social overload than non-shifting office among. Similarly, Kitano et al.\textsuperscript{29} revealed that light-intensity physical activity performed on workdays was associated with an increased risk of psychological distress among workers from a life insurance company in Japan. In a final sample of 2,081 workers, we observed that in most studies, physical activity was not associated with stress levels in different occupational groups.

**Discussion**

Occupational physical activity has been recently associated with adverse health outcomes including premature mortality\textsuperscript{8}. This so-called physical activity paradox was based on the increased physical and mental burden in certain occupational groups such as blue-collar workers\textsuperscript{11}. Although “blue-collar” workers had higher time spent with physical activity during work, this difference does not seem to lead to increased stress levels\textsuperscript{30}. Nevertheless, these workers spent almost half of the waking time in sedentary activities without physical activity that could attenuate the heightened risk of all-cause mortality associated with such behavior\textsuperscript{31}. The absence of the association between occupational physical activity and stress must not offset the importance of promoting physical activity for this group. Understanding the “24-hour activity cycle”\textsuperscript{32}, which consists of sleep, sedentary behavior, light-intensity physical activity and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, is critical to promoting a more active lifestyle in workers.

Furthermore, the effect of occupational physical activity on stress levels might not be exclusively related to the type of worker such as “blue-collar” or “white-collar”. Shift-workers (i.e., nurses) showed higher METs while working and higher psychological stress than non-shift-workers. Also, physical activity performed by workers in a life insurance company was associated with an increased risk of psychological distress. Likewise, Tejada et al.\textsuperscript{25} reported that the elevated stress levels observed among obstetricians were followed by increased concentration of adrenalin and reduced heart rate variability after a labor suite shift. This modification in the physiological profile indicates an elevated burden of occupational activity in this group of workers. However, the number of steps per day did not differ among off work days, outpatient clinic, and labor suite.

Also, although caregivers reported higher mental distress such as anxiety and stress than non-caregivers, no difference was observed in physical activity level between groups\textsuperscript{21}. Altogether, the psychological demand of some types of occupational activities such as the persisted alarming state in the hospital environment and goal-based jobs such as in life insurance companies might trigger emotional distress in workers, with occupational physical activity, even at low intensities exacerbating such adverse effects.

Regarding the quality, all included articles clearly reported objectives, target population (i.e., workers), and the number of participants. However, no study had randomly selected the sample from the target population. Also, only six (50.0\%) studies\textsuperscript{20–24,28} provided details of the included participants whilst eight (66.7\%)\textsuperscript{20–25,28,30} reported using appropriated statistical methods. The occupational environment has been the focus of the physical activity field for centuries\textsuperscript{33}. On the other hand, the remaining gaps in the association between physical activity during work and physical and mental health must be filled by well-design studies with a robust methodology. Asking workers to take part in our studies yields the responsibility to return with important and trustful results. Otherwise, it is just another
task to be completed during the occupational time.

Some limitations need to be acknowledged. First, stress was assessed as a self-reported perception. However, biological markers such as cortisol concentration might provide a non-specific viewpoint of the psychological burden of occupational activities. Second, the lack of standardized reports of physical activity and stress levels among studies did not allow a more quantitative analysis (i.e., meta-analysis). Third, half of the included studies examined the association between physical activity and stress in health workers. Although the crucial role of health professionals for the public health, other groups are still out of the focus of the research’s lens such as farmers and school teachers. Fourth, no searches were performed in the gray literature, so some studies with the potential to be included may not be found.

In conclusion, some occupational groups are at higher risk of elevated stress levels regardless of physical activity practice in most studies. However, studies with robust methodologies and covering different groups of workers remain necessary.
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